Your Senior Project Proposal Title

Put a subtitle here if you want

Your name

Date of presentation

The title of your slide goes here

A slide is made by starting with \ frame{ and ending with }

Introduction

- The introduction should include a statement of your problem or the names/authors of the papers you are using
- If possible include something about the history of the problem.

Definitions

Include any definitions needed to understand the proposal that people in your audience might not be familiar with. For this, your "audience" is the people in this class.

Here is some sample code:

One might itemize;

- \blacksquare Factorials are given simply by n!
- One can include figures!

Or enumerate!

- Fermat's First Theorem
- 2 Fermat's Last Theorem

You can Itemize within an Itemization

- You could put your overall topic here, like Sequences
- After a brief second note about, say, alternating sequences,
- You could talk about monotonicity
 - and then increasing sequences
 - and decreasing sequences
- Then talk about increasing subsequences of alternating sequences

Required

Don't forget to include all of the following in your proposal:

- Introduction
- 2 Definitions
- **3** Goals
- 4 Justification
- 5 Summar/conclusions
- 6 Papers Cited

You have 9 minutes (including time for a few questions).

Papers Cited

- AH A. E. Gelfand and H. Solomon, "A Study of Poisson's Models for Jury Verdicts in Criminal and Civil Trials," Journal of the American Statistical Association, 1973.
 - F E. C. Dane, "In Search of Reasonable Doubt: A Systematic Examination of Selected Quantification Approaches," Law and Human Behavior, New York, 1985.
- MKK M. Fried, K. J. Kaplan, and K. W. Klein, "Juror Selection: An Analysis of Voir Dire," In R. J. Simon (Ed.), *The Jury System in America: A Critical Overview*, 1975.
 - R R. J. Simon, "Beyond a Reasonable Doubt," Journal of Applied Behavioral Science, 1970.
 - RL R. J. Simon and L. Mahan, "Quantifying Burdens of Proof: A view from the bench, the jury, and the classroom," Law and Society Review, 1971.