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The Catcher in the Rye, by J. D. Salinger (1951/1964), is probably the best-known novel of adolescence. It consists entirely of one long self-reflective monologue by the main character: Holden Caulfield. Holden is talking to someone, but we never learn who the person is—a psychologist, perhaps? He gives the person a long narrative about a dramatic 24-hour period of his life. It begins when he abruptly leaves the prep school where he had been enrolled, feeling alienated from his schoolmates, sick to death at what he perceives as their hypocrisy and shallowness. Afraid to go home—this is not the first time he has had trouble at school—he instead goes into the city where he has a series of misadventures, culminating in a physical and psychological collapse.

Holden tells the whole complicated (and often hilarious) story to the reader through the course of the book. However, it is not really the events that are the focus of Holden’s tale but Holden himself. It is about his attempts to understand who he is and how he fits into the world around him, a world he finds confusing, bruising, and sad. He is reluctant to move toward entering the adult world, because nearly all adults seem to him to be pathetic or corrupt. He much prefers the world of children—throughout the book, he expresses his tenderness and perhaps romanticized view of their innocence and sweetness. His growing self-awareness has come as an unpleasant shock, because in his view it has jumbled him out of the Eden of his childhood innocence.

Holden is not a typical adolescent. It is his unusual sensitivity and wit that make him such a compelling character in The Catcher in the Rye. However, he provides a good example of how issues of the self come to the forefront of development in adolescence. He engages in self-reflection about his maturity, or lack of it (“I act quite young for my age...”). He evaluates himself, sometimes negatively (“I’m a terrific liar...”), He has moments of elation, but more moments of foreboding and sadness, in which he broods about death and the cruelties of life. He tries to work out issues of identity, of who he is and what he wants out of life, concluding—at least for now—that the only future that appeals to him is the imaginary one of being the "catcher in the rye," the guardian of playing-children.
The issues Holden confronts in his monologue are the kinds of issues we will address in this chapter on the self. As we saw in Chapter 3, on cognitive development, moving into adolescence results in new capacities for self-reflection. Adolescents can think about themselves in a way that younger children cannot. The ability for abstract thinking that develops in adolescence includes asking abstract questions about one's self, such as "What kind of person am I?" or "What characteristics make me who I am?" What am I good at, and not so good at? How do other people perceive me? What kind of life am I likely to have in the future?" Younger children can ask these questions, too, but only in a rudimentary way. With adolescents' growing cognitive capacities, they can now ask these questions of themselves more clearly, and they can come up with answers that are more complex and more insightful.

This enhanced cognitive capacity for self-reflection has a variety of consequences. It means that adolescents change in their self-concept; that is, in their answers to the question, "What kind of person am I?" It means that adolescents change in their self-system, that is, in their capacity for evaluating their fundamental worth as a person. It means that adolescents change in their emotional understanding, as they become more aware of their own emotions, and as their enhanced understanding of themselves and others affects their daily emotional lives. It also means that adolescents change in their identities; that is, in their perceptions of their capacities and characteristics and how these fit into the opportunities available to them in their society. All of these changes continue through emerging adulthood, but identity issues are especially central to emerging adulthood, even more than in adolescence in many respects.

We will discuss each of these aspects of the self in this chapter, and end with a look at young people's experiences and states of mind when they are alone. First, however, we consider the cultural approach to concepts of the self. Although self-reflection increases in adolescence as a part of normal cognitive development, the culture young people live in has profound effects on how they experience this change.

CULTURE AND THE SELF

The general distinction introduced in Chapter 4, between individualistic and collectivistic cultures, and between broad socialization values and narrow socialization values, comes into play in considerations of the self, and perhaps especially on this topic. As noted in Chapter 4, in discussing cultural differences in conceptions of the self scholars typically distinguish between the independent self promoted by individualistic cultures and the interdependent self promoted by collectivistic cultures (Cross & Gove, 2003; Markus & Kitayam, 1991; Shweder et al., 1998).

Cultures that promote an independent, individualistic self promote and encourage reflection about the self. In such cultures it is seen as a good thing to think about yourself, to consider who you are as an independent person, and to think highly of yourself (within certain limits, of course)—no culture values selfishness or egocentrism. Americans are especially known for their individualism and their focus on self-oriented issues. It was an American

All of us, at some moment, have had a vision of our existence as something unique, untranslatable, and very precious. This revelation almost always takes place during adolescence. Self-discovery is above all the realization that we are alone: it is the opening of an impalpable, transparent wall—that of our consciousness—between the world and ourselves. It is true that we sense our aloneness almost as soon as we are born, but children and adults can transgress their solitude and forget them selves in games or work. The adolescent, however, oscillates between infancy and youth, halting for a moment before the infinite richness of the world.

He is astonished at the fact of his being, and this astonishment leads to reflection: as he leaps over the river of his consciousness, he asks himself if the face that appears there, disfigured by the water, is his own. The singularity of his being, which is pure sensation in children, becomes a problem and a question."

who first invented the term self-esteem (William James, in the late 1800s), and the United States continues to be known as the rest of the world at a place where the independent self is valued and promoted (Green, Deschamps, & Page, 2003; Trinidad, 1995). However, not all cultures look at the self in this way and value the self to the same extent. In collectivistic cultures, characterized by narrow socialization, an interdependent conception of self prevails. In these cultures, the interests of the group—the family, the kinship group, the ethnic group, the nation, the religious institution—are supposed to come first, before the needs of the individual. This means that it is not necessarily a good thing, in these cultures, to think highly of yourself. People who think highly of themselves also possess a high level of self-esteem, threaten the harmony of the group because they may be inclined to pursue their personal interests regardless of the interests of the group to which they belong.

Thus, children and adolescents in these cultures are socialized to note their self-esteem and to learn to consider the interests and needs of others at least as important as the interests and needs of themselves (Lalonde & Chandler, 2004; Whiting & Edwards, 1988). By adolescence, this means that the "self" is thought of not as separate, independent, or distinct, but as defined by relationships with others, to a large extent (Krom & Adams, 2005). This is what it means for the self to be interdependent rather than independent (Markus & Kitayama, 1991). In the perspective of these cultures, the self cannot be understood apart from social roles and obligations.

We will learn more about different ways of thinking about the self as we move along in this chapter. Throughout the chapter, keep in mind that the various theories vary in their way the members are socialized to think about the self.

T-H-I-N-K-I-N-G CRITICALLY

Based on what you have learned so far in this book, what would you say is the economic reasons preindustrial cultures would promote an interdependent self?

Self-Conceptions

Adolescents think about themselves differently than younger children do, in a variety of respects. The changes in self-understanding that occur in adolescence have their foundation in the more general changes in cognitive functioning described in Chapter 3. Specifically, adolescent self-conceptions, like adolescent cognitive development overall, become more abstract and more complex.

More Abstract

"The hardest thing is coming to grips with who you are, accepting the fact that you're not perfect—but then doing things anyway. Even if you are really good at something or a really fine person, you also know that there's so much you can't. You always know all the things you don't know and all the things you can't do. And however much you can feel the rest of the world, you always know how much bullshit a lot of it is."

—Non, age 17 (in Bell, 1998, p. 78)

According to Susan Hanra (1999), a scholar who has done extensive work on the development of self-conceptions from childhood through adolescence, with increasing age, children describe themselves less in concrete terms ("I have a dog named Buster and a sister named Carrie.") and more in terms of their traits ("I'm pretty smart, but I'm kind of shy."). For adolescents, self-conceptions become still more abstract, and the traits become more abstract, as they describe themselves in terms of intractable personality characteristics (Hanra, 1999a, 1999b).

For example, one 14-year-old girl in a study on self-conceptions described herself as follows:

What am I like as a person? Complicated! I'm sensitive, friendly, outgoing, popular, and tolerant, though I can also be shy, awkward, even obnoxious . . . I'm a pretty cheerful person, especially with my friends. . . . At home I'm more likely to be serious around my parents. (Hanra, 1999b, p. 392)

Notice the use of all the abstractions. "Sensitive," "Out-going," "Cheerful," "Anxious." Adolescents' capacity for abstraction makes these kinds of descriptions possible.

One aspect of this capacity for abstraction in adolescents' self-conceptions is their ability to distinguish between an actual self and a possible selves (Markus & Nurius, 1986; Martin, 1997; Oyserman & Markus, 1990; Whitty, 2002). Scholars distinguish two kinds of possible selves, an ideal self and a feared self (Martin, 1997; Oyserman & Markus, 1990). The ideal self is the person the adolescent would like to be (for example, an adolescent may have an ideal of becoming highly popular with peers or highly successful in athletics or music). The feared self is the person the adolescent imagines it is possible to become but dreads becoming (for example, an adolescent might fear becoming an
alcoholics or fear becoming like a disgraced relative or friend. Both kinds of possible selves are defective. This is, possible selves are not idealized conceptions of the future self. The capacity for thinking about an actual, an ideal, and a feared self is a cognitive achievement, but this capacity may be troubling in some respects. If you can imagine an ideal self, you can also become aware of the degree of discrepancy between your actual self and your ideal self, between what you are and what you wish you were. If the discrepancy is large enough, it can result in feelings of failure, inadequacy, and depression. Studies have found that the size of the discrepancy between the actual and ideal self is related to depressed mood in both adolescents and emerging adults (Choi & Lee, 1998; Moericke & Wiehe, 1999). Furthermore, the discrepancy between the actual and ideal self is greater to midadolescence than in earlier or later adolescence (Sarason & Jones, 1982). This helps explain why depression is very rare before adolescence, but rates of depressed mood rise in early adolescence and peak in midadolescence (Peterson et al., 1993).

However, awareness of actual and possible selves can have more favorable consequences as well. This awareness provides some adolescents with a motivation to strive toward their ideal self and avoid becoming the feared self (Cota-Robles, Nein, & Renu, 2000; Markus & Neuman, 1986; Oyserman & Markus, 1990).

Emerging adults, too, are often inspired by the vision of a possible self; in fact, one of the notable features of emerging adulthood mentioned in Chapter 1 is that it is the "age of possibilities." (Ayerst, 2004a). In one Australian study (Wheing, 2002), early emerging adulthood (ages 17-22) was found to be a time of "granddreams of being wealthy and having a glamorous occupation, but beyond emerging adulthood (ages 22-33) the visions of a possible self became more realistic, if still optimistic.

Most scholars who have studied this topic see it as healthier for adolescents to possess both an ideal self and a feared self. One study that compared delinquent adolescents to other adolescents found that the delinquent adolescents tended to have this balance between an ideal self and a feared self. In contrast, the delinquent adolescents possessed an ideal self but were less likely than other adolescents to have a clear conception of an ideal self to strive for (Oyserman & Markus, 1990).

More Complex

A second aspect of adolescent self-understanding is that it becomes more complex. Again, this is based on a more general cognitive attainment, the formal operational ability to perceive multiple aspects of a situation or idea. Scholars have found that adolescents' self-conceptions become more complex especially from early adolescence to middle adolescence (Harter, 1986), conducted a study in which she asked adolescents in 7th, 9th, and 11th grades to describe themselves. The results showed that the extent to which adolescents described themselves as contradictory (e.g., shy and fun-loving) increased sharply from 7th to 9th grade and then declined slightly in 11th grade.

Harter and her colleagues have found that recognizing these contradictions in their personalities and behavior can be confusing to adolescents, as they try to sort out "the real me" from the different aspects of themselves that appear in different situations (Harter, 1999; Harter, Bresnick, Kochley, & Whitesell, 1997). However, adolescents' contradictory descriptions do not necessarily mean that they are confused about which of the two contradictory descriptions apply to their actual selves. To some extent, the contradictions indicate that adolescents, more than younger children, recognize that their feelings and their behaviors can vary from day to day and from situation to situation (Harter, 1999a). Rather than simply saying "I'm shy" as a younger child might, an adolescent might say "I'm shy when I'm around people I don't really know, but when I'm around my friends I can be kind of wild and crazy."
A related aspect of the increasing complexity of self-conceptions is that adolescents become aware of times when they are exhibiting a false self, a self that they present to others while realizing that it does not represent what they are actually thinking and feeling (Harter, 1990a, 1992; Harter, Mayer, Whittesell, & Cobb, 1992; Harter et al., 1997). With whom would you think adolescents would be most likely to exhibit their false selves—friends, parents, or dates? Harter's research indicates that adolescents are most likely to let themselves go with dating partners, least likely with their close friends, and most likely with their dates. Most adolescents in Harter's research indicate that they sometimes dislike putting on a false self, but many also say that some degree of false self behavior is acceptable, and even desirable, to impress someone or to conceal aspects of the self they do not want others to see.

T-H-I-N-K I-N-G C R I T I C A L L Y

Why do people think a false self is most likely to be shown to dating partners? Would the false self be gradually discarded as the dating partner becomes a boyfriend or girlfriend, or not?

Self-Esteem

Self-esteem is a person's overall sense of worth and well-being, self-image, self-concept, and self-evaluation are closely related terms, referring to the way people view and evaluate themselves. A great deal has been written and discussed about self-esteem in the past 50 years in American society, especially concerning adolescents. In the 1960s and 1970s, self-esteem enhancement programs for young people became popular, based on the idea that making children and adolescents feel better about themselves would have a variety of positive effects on other aspects of functioning, such as school achievement and relationships with peers (DeBois, 2003; Dallion & Teoandile, 1999; Harter, 1992). In the 1980s and 1990s, particular concern developed about self-esteem among girls and about violence showing that girls often experience a drop in self-esteem as they enter adolescence (American Association of University Women, 1993; Gilligan, Lyons, & Hammer, 1991).

All this concern about self-esteem is a distinctly American phenomenon. Even among Western countries, American values high self-esteem to a greater extent than people in other countries (Stanfield, 1995), and the gap between Americans and non-Western countries in this respect is even greater (Witkin & Edward, 1988). For example, in traditional Japanese culture, self-criticism is a virtue and high self-esteem is a character problem (Heine, Lehman, Markus, & Kitay-
early adolescence, many others do not. One study followed a sample from 6th grade through 11th grade, and showed that different children have different patterns of change in self-esteem as they move into adolescence (Zimmerman, 1997). Figure 6.1 shows the patterns. Self-esteem across the total sample declined only slightly, and only about one-third of adolescents (the "High to low" and "Low and decreasing" groups) followed a pattern of decline. The majority of adolescents were either consistently high or increased slightly in self-esteem during the period of the study. Other studies have reported similar patterns (DeHoll, Viquez, & Deike, 1997; Mithch & DuBois, 1991; Pals, Greener, & Way, 2000, 2005).

Inequity in self-esteem also exists among different American ethnic groups. Despite being subject to centuries of slavery, discrimination, and racism, African Americans tend to have higher self-esteem than other ethnic groups, and the difference increases with age from childhood through adolescence and emerging adulthood (Brezy, Babacac, & Umana-Taylor, 2004; Cross & Hedge, 2000; Twenge & Crocker, 2002). White adolescents tend to have higher self-esteem than Latins, Asian Americans, or Native Americans (Twenge & Crocker, 2002). Asian Americans are often lowest in studies that compare adolescents of different ethnic groups (Rosenblum & Way, 2004). The reasons for these ethnic differences are rooted in cultural differences, with self-esteem promoted more in Asian American culture and least in Asian American culture (Greene & Way, 2005). The interdependent self-focused in Asian cultures tends to discourage high self-
evaluations and encourage a focus on the needs and concerns of others (Heine et al., 1999).

**T.H.I.S.K.N.G CRITICALLY**

- What hypothesis would you propose to explain the ethnic differences in adolescent self-esteem described above? How would you test your hypothesis?

**Different Aspects of Self-Esteem**

As scholars have studied self-esteem, they have concluded that it has different aspects in addition to overall self-esteem. Morris Rosenberg, the scholar who developed the widely used Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale, distinguished between baseline self-esteem and barometric self-esteem (Rosenberg, 1986). Baseline self-esteem is a person's stable, enduring sense of worth and well-being. People with high baseline self-esteem might have an occasional bad day in which they feel incompetent or self-critical, but still have high baseline self-esteem because most days they evaluate themselves positively. In contrast, people with low baseline self-esteem might continue to have a poor opinion of themselves even though they have some good days when things go right for them and they have positive feelings about themselves.

Barometric self-esteem is the fluctuating sense of worth and well-being people have as they respond to different thoughts, experiences, and interactions in the course of a day. According to Rosenberg, early adolescence is a time when variations in barometric self-esteem are especially intense (Rosenberg, 1986). An adolescent might have a disagreement with a par-
ent over breakfast and feel miserable, then go to school and have some fun with friends before class and feel good, then get back a test in biology with a poor grade and feel miserable again, then get a smile from an attractive potential love interest and feel great—all in just a few hours.

The Experience Sampling Method (ESM) studies, in which adolescents wear beepers that record their moods and activities when beeped, confirm Rosenberg's insights by showing just this kind of rapid fluctuation of moods among adolescents in a typical day (Larson & Richards, 1994). ESM studies find that adults and preadolescents experience changes in their moods as well, but not with the same frequency or intensity as adolescents. Other studies confirm that adolescents' self-esteem varies depending on whom they are with (Hunter, Waters, & Whitesell, 1998). Furthermore, adolescents vary in how much their barometric self-esteem fluctuates, with some relatively stable across time and contexts and some highly variable (Harter & Whitesell, 2003). The more enjoyable and secure their social relationships, the more stable their self-esteem is.

Other aspects of adolescent self-esteem have been investigated by Susan Harter (1989, 1992a, 1990b, 1997, 1999, 2001, 2005). Her Self Perception Profile for Adolescents distinguishes the following eight domains of adolescent self-image:

- Scholastic competence
- Social acceptance
- Athletic competence
- Physical appearance
- Job competence
- Romantic appeal
- Subcultural conduct
- Close friendship

Examples of items from each subscale are provided in the Research Focus box, along with more information about the scale. In addition to the eight subscales on specific domains of self-esteem, Harter's scale also contains a subscale for global (overall) self-esteem.

Harter's research indicates that adolescents do not need to have a positive self-image in all domains to have high global self-esteem. Each domain of self-image influences global self-esteem only to the extent that the adolescent views that domain as important.

For example, some adolescents may view themselves as having low athletic competence, but that would only influence their global self-esteem if it was important to them to be good at athletics. Nevertheless, some dom-

ains of self-esteem are more important than others to most adolescents, as we will see in the next section.

Self-Esteem and Physical Appearance

Which of Harter's eight aspects of self-image would you expect to be most important in adolescence? Research by Harter and others has found that physical appearance is most strongly related to global self-esteem, followed by social acceptance from peers (DuBois et al., 1996; Harter, 1989, 1990b, 1999, 2001, 2005; Shapka & Keating, 2000). A similar link between physical appearance and self-esteem has been found for emerging adults (Mendelson, Mendelson, & Andrews, 2000).

Adolescent girls are more likely than boys to emphasize physical appearance as a basis for self-esteem. This gender difference largely explains the gender difference in self-esteem that occurs at adolescence. Girls have a more negative body image than boys in adolescence, and are more critical of their physical appearance. They are less satisfied with the shape of their bodies than boys are, and the majority of them believe they weigh too much and have attempted to diet (Bronaugh, Eyre, & Maibach, 1997; Simmons & Phyth, 1987). Because girls tend to evaluate their physical appearance negatively, and because physical appearance is at the heart of their global self-esteem, girls' self-esteem tends to be lower than boys' during adolescence (DuBois et al., 1996; Fiost & McElvane, 2004; Klenner, Shabazz, & Espenes, 2004; Shapka & Keating, 2005).

"Girls compare their own bodies to our cultural ideals and find them wanting. Dieting and dissatisfaction with bodies have become normal reactions to puberty, . . . Girls are terrified of being fat, as well they should be. Girls hear the remark: 'She looks heavy girls in the halls of their schools. No one feels thin enough. Because of guilt and shame about their bodies, young women are constantly on the defensive. . . .

Almost all adolescent girls feel fat, worry about their weight, diet and feel guilty when they eat." —Mary Pipher (1994), Reviving Ophelia: Saving the Selves of Adolescent Girls, pp. 184–185
Table 6.1 Sample items from the Self-Perception Profile for Adolescents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Items from the Self-Perception Profile for Adolescents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mental Competence</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some teenagers feel that they are not very smart. Sometimes, they feel that they are not very good at school work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social Acceptance</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some teenagers feel that they are not very popular. They feel that they are not very well liked by others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Athletic Competence</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some teenagers feel that they are not very athletic. They feel that they are not very good at sports.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical Appearance</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some teenagers feel that they are not very good-looking. They feel that they are not very attractive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Job Competence</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some teenagers feel that they are not very good at work. They feel that they are not very good at their job.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rumination</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some teenagers feel that they are not very good at handling difficult situations. They feel that they are not very good at making decisions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Behavioral Conduct</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some teenagers feel that they are not very good at following rules. They feel that they are not very good at being obedient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Global Self-Worth</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some teenagers feel that they are not very good at being good to others. They feel that they are not very good at helping others.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The prominence of physical appearance as a source of self-esteem also helps explain why girls' self-esteem is especially likely to decline as they enter early adolescence. As we have seen in Chapter 2, girls are often highly self-conscious about the changes that take place in their physical appearance when they reach puberty. Reaching puberty means becoming more womanly, which is good, but becoming more sexually means gaining weight in certain places—which—in the American majority culture, at least—is not good. Because the physical ideal for American females is so thin, reaching an age when nature promotes considered body development makes it difficult for adolescent girls to feel good about themselves (Frost & McGillic, 2004; Geerter et al., 1994; Feil et al., 1997; Rosenfield & Lewis, 1999). The focus on physical attractiveness, as a source of self-esteem is further promoted by the fact that reaching adolescence also means facing evaluations from others at a potential romantic/sexual partner, and for girls especially, physical attractiveness is the primary criterion for this evaluation (Galambos, Almeida, & Petersen, 1989; Hill & Lynch, 1983).

It should be emphasized that the research that has found a decline in girls' self-esteem in adolescence and a gender difference in perceived physical appearance has been mainly on White adolescents. Evidence indicates that African American girls evaluate their physical appearance quite differently than White girls do. In one study of junior high and high school students, 70% of the African American girls were satisfied with their bodies, compared with just 14% of the White girls (Parker et al., 1995). Furthermore, a majority of the African American girls (64%) and very few of the White girls agreed that "it's better to be someone overweight than someone who is of normal weight." This ethnic difference in perceived physical appearance helps explain why White adolescent girls tend to have lower self-esteem than boys in adolescence, whereas in American minority groups the reverse is true (DuBois et al., 1996; Greene & Way, 2000; Mendelsohn et al., 2000). However, some evidence suggests that Black and Asian young women evaluate themselves according to skin color, with those having relatively dark skin also having negative perceptions of their attractiveness (Bond & Calhoun, 1992; Serey & Piroz, 1997).

Causes and Effects of Self-Esteem

What leads some adolescents to have high self-esteem and others to have low self-esteem? Testing accepted and approved by others—especially parents and peers—is the factor identified by theorists and researchers as the most important (DuBois, 2003; Greene & Way, 2000; Fitzpatrick et al., 2004; Harter, 1998a, 1999). As noted above, because peers become especially prominent in the social world of adolescents they gain considerable power over self-esteem in adolescence compared with earlier ages, but parents are less important as well. Although adolescents often spend a great deal of time with their parents and have issues conflict with them than before adolescence, adolescents' relationships with parents remain crucial (Alley & Lind, 1999; Lauzon & Richards, 1994). If parents provide love and encouragement, adolescent self-esteem is enhanced and if parents are rejecting or indifferent, adolescents respond with lower self-esteem (Benenson, Crawford, Cohen, & Brook, 2000). Approval from adults outside the family, especially teachers, contributes to self-esteem as well (Hill & Holmbeck, 1996).

School success has also been found to be related to self-esteem in adolescence (Buchanan & O'Malley, 1986; DuBois & Tevest, 1999), especially for African American adolescents (Sernelli, Martinez, & Reyes, 1995). But which comes first? Do adolescents gain in self-esteem when they do well in school, or does self-esteem directly influence adolescents' performance in school? In the 1960s and 1970s, the predominant belief in American education was that self-esteem is more of a cause of school success than a consequence. Numerous programs were instituted to try to enhance students' self-esteem by praising them and trying to teach them to praise themselves, in the hopes that this would raise their school performance. However, scholars eventually concluded that these programs did not work (DuBois & Tevest, 1999). More recent studies have shown that school success tends to be a cause rather than a consequence of self-esteem (DuBois & Tevest, 1999; Liu, Kaplan, & Riser, 1992; Rosenberg, Schonert, & Schonbuch, 1990). In fact, adolescents who have inflated self-esteem—that is, they rate themselves more favorably than parents, teachers, and peers rate them—are more likely to have greater conduct problems in the classroom, compared with their peers (DuBois, 2003; DuBois et al., 1995). The best way to improve adolescents' school-related self-esteem is to teach them knowledge and skills that can be the basis of real achievements in the classroom (Bobo, Wells, & Petersen, 1990; DuBois, 2003).

In other areas of functioning, the question of the effects of self-esteem is controversial, with some scholars claiming that self-esteem has effects on a wide range of effects whereas others argue that, like the findings regarding school performance, functioning in other areas is a cause of self-esteem rather than an effect (see Bloodell et al., 2000) for a recent discussion of this issue). One recent study indicates that the effects of self-esteem may depend
on which domains are high and which are low (Wida, Plishcer, Bhana, & Leventhal, 2006). Low self-esteem in the family and school domains and high self-esteem in the peer domain were associated with multiple risk behaviors in adolescents of both sexes. In a recent longitudinal study of early adolescents (Bullock & Silverthorn, 2001), low self-esteem at Time 1 predicted associations with deviant peers at Time 2, which in turn related to risk behavior, but there was no direct association between low self-esteem and risk behavior. These studies reflect the complexity of the relations between self-esteem and adolescents’ behavior.

Self-Esteem in Emerging Adulthood

Although self-esteem tends to decline from preadolescence to adolescence, for most young people it rises during emerging adulthood (Graham, Barker, & Krahn, 1999; Hart, 1999; O'Malley & Bachman, 1985; Resnick, Gari, & Mosher, 2001). Figure 6.2 shows this pattern. There are a number of reasons why self-esteem increases over this period. Physical appearance is important to adolescents’ self-esteem, and by emerging adulthood young people have passed through the awkward changes of puberty and may be more comfortable with how they look. Also, being accepted and approved by parents contributes to self-esteem, and from adolescence to emerging adulthood, relationships with parents generally improve while conflict diminishes (Arnett, 2000a; Columbia et al., 2006; Connors, Bell, & Haseltine, 1996). Peers and friends are also important to self-esteem, and entering emerging adulthood means leaving the social pressure cooker of secondary school, where peer evaluations are a part of daily life and can be harsh (Gavin & Freeman, 1987).

Also, reaching emerging adulthood usually means having more control over the social contexts of everyday life, which makes it possible for emerging adults to emphasize the contexts they prefer and avoid the contexts they find disagreeable, in a way that adolescents cannot. For example, young people who dislike school and as poorly have little choice but to attend school in adolescence, where poor grades may repeatedly undermine their self-esteem. However, in emerging adulthood they can leave school and instead engage in full-time work that they may find more gratifying and enjoyable, thus enhancing their self-esteem.

The Emotional Self

Among the issues of the self that adolescents confront is how to understand and manage their emotions. One of the most acute and enduring observations of adolescence is that it is a time of heightened emotions. Over 2,000 years ago, the Greek philosopher Aristotle observed that youths are ''beholden by Nature as drunken men by wine.'’ About 150 years ago, the French philosophers Jean-Jacques Rousseau made a similar observation: “As the roaring of the waves precedes the tempest, so the murmur of rising passions announces the tempest of puberty and adolescence.” Around the same time that Rousseau was writing, a type of German literature was developing that became known as ‘Sturm und Drang’ literature—German for ‘storm and stress.’ In these stories, young people in their teens and early twenties experienced extreme emotions of angst, sadness, and romantic love. Today, too, most Western parents see adolescence as a time of heightened emotional fluctuation (Buchanan et al., 1990; Buchman & Höhnebeek, 1996).

What does contemporary research tell us about the validity of these historical and popular ideas about adolescent emotionality? Probably the best source of data on
CHAPTER 6  The Self

Negative moods become more common in adolescence.

The question is the ESM studies (Calkins et al.; Larsson; Larson & Pram, 1993; Larson & Richards, 1994) in which people record their emotions and experiences when they are "bored" at random times during the day. Wast makes the ESM method especially valuable for addressing the question of adolescent emotionality is that it assesses emotions at numerous specific moments, rather than having adolescents make an overall judgment of their emotional fluctuations. Furthermore, ESM studies have also been conducted on preadolescents and adults. Thus, if we compare the patterns of emotions reported by the different groups, we can get a good sense of whether adolescents report more extremes of emotions than preadolescents or adults.

The results indicate that they do (Larsson; Calkins; Larson, & Gruber, 1989; Larson & Richards, 1994). Adolescents report feeling "excited" and "embarrassed" two to three times more often than their parents and are also more likely than their parents to feel angry, lonely, nervous, and ignored. Adolescents are also more return when compared to preadolescents. Comparing preadolescent 5th graders to adolescent 8th graders, Larson & Richards (1994) describe the emotional "daily cycles" that occur during this time, as the proportion of time experienced as "very happy" declines by 40%, and similar declines take place in reports of feeling "great," "proud," and "in control." The result is an overall deflation of childhood happiness (p. 85) as childhood and adolescence begin. This is consistent with the decline in self-esteem described earlier.

Recent research indicates that brain development may contribute to adolescents' emotionality (Giedd, 2002). In one study comparing adolescents (ages 10-18) to emerging adults and young adults (ages 20-40), participants were shown pictures of faces displaying strong emotions (Baird et al., 1999). When adolescents processed the emotional information in the photos, brain activity was especially high in the amygdala, a primitive part of the brain involved in emotions, and relatively low in the frontal lobes, part of the brain involved in higher functions such as reasoning and planning. The reverse was true for adults. This seems to indicate that adolescents often respond more with the heart than the head to emotional stimuli, whereas adults tend to respond in a more controlled and rational way. Studies also indicate that the hormonal changes of puberty make some contribution to increased emotionality in early adolescence (Samman & Rogal, 2004).

However, most scholars see these emotional changes as due to cognitive and environmental factors more than to biological changes (Bussanich et al., 1992; Samman & Rogal, 2004). According to Larson and Richards (1994, adolescents' newly developed capacities for abstract reasoning allow them to see beneath the surface of situations and envision hidden and more long-lasting causes to their wellbeing) (p. 88). Larson and Richards (1994) also argue that experiencing multiple life changes and personal transitions during adolescence (such as the onset of puberty, changing schools, and beginning to date) contributes to adolescents' emotional volatility. Nevertheless, Larson and Richards (1994) emphasize that it is not just that adolescents experience potentially stressful events but how they experience and interpret those that underlies their emotional volatility. Even in response to the same or similar events, adolescents report more extreme and negative moods than preadolescents or adults.

Recently, Larson and Richards reported the results from assessing their original sample of 5th-8th graders four years later, in 9th-12th grades (Larson et al., 2002). As Figure 5.3 shows, they found that the decline in positive emotional states continued through 9th and 10th grades, then leveled out. Also, the older adolescents were less volatile in their emotions, that is, the changes in their emotions from one time to the next were less extreme. In another study, the ESM method was used with adolescents and their parents in India (Venos & Larson, 1999). The results indicated that, as in the United States, adolescents report more extremes of emotion than their parents do.

Few studies have examined emotionality in emerging adulthood, but one recent longitudinal study found that from age 18 to 25, negative emotions (such
as feeling depressed or angry) decrease. (Galambos et al., 2006). This finding fits well with the research on selves in showing that for most people the self becomes happier and more stable from adolescence to emerging adulthood (Arnett, 2004a).

Gender and the Emotional Self: Do Adolescent Girls Lose Their “Voice”?

One of the most influential theories on the self-development of girls in adolescence has been Carol Gilligan. In Chapter 4, we discussed how Gilligan and her colleagues have proposed that adolescent girls and boys tend to think differently about moral issues, with girls emphasizing care and boys emphasizing justice. Gilligan and her colleagues have also argued that there are gender differences in the self in adolescence. They claim that early adolescence is a crucial turning point in self-development, in which boys begin to assert their opinions whereas girls lose their “voice” and become reticent and insecure (Brown & Gilligan, 1992; Gilligan, Lyons, & Hamer, 1990).

In Gilligan’s view, girls and boys differ from early childhood onward in their emotional responses to social relationships. She sees girls as more sensitive to the nuances of human relationships from an early age, more observant of the subtleties of social interactions, and more interested in cultivating emotional intimacy in their relationships with others. Girls have a “different voice” than boys, not just in their views of moral issues but in their views of human relationships more generally. Early adolescence is crucial because it is at this point that girls become aware of an irreconcilable conflict in the gender expectations that the American majority culture has for females. On the one hand, girls perceive that independence and assertiveness are valued in their culture, and that people who are ambitious and competitive are most likely to be rewarded in their education and in their careers. On the other hand, they perceive that their culture values females mainly for their physical appearance and for feminine traits such as nurturance and care for others, and rejects as “selfish” females who show the traits the culture rewards most, such as independence and competitiveness. As a result, girls in early adolescence typically succeed, to the gender socialization of their culture and become more insecure and tentative about their abilities, more likely to lose their voices in an effort to be socially accepted. At the extreme, according to Gilligan, the mixing of girls’ voices is reflected in an escalation in such problems as depression and eating disorders when girls reach adolescence.

In her views of adolescent girls’ emotional development, Gilligan’s influence has been profound. Her writings have received a wide audience, not just in the social sciences but also among the general public. A clinical psychologist, Mary Pipher (1994), wrote a book called Reviving Ophelia, building heavily on Gilligan’s ideas about the emotional selves of adolescent girls, and it became a bestseller. One of the schools in which Gilligan has conducted her research, a private girls’ school in upstate...
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Identity

For me, I'm exploring who I am—trying to find out more who I am, because I'm not really sum any more. Because up till about seventh grade, I was just a kid. I wasn't me and I never really thought about it. But now I've thought about it a lot more and I'm starting to have to make decisions about who I want to be.

—Carol, age 13 (in Bell, 1988, p. 71)

One of the most distinctive features of adolescence is that it is a time of thinking about who you are, where your life is going, what you believe in, and how your life fits into the world around you. These are all issues of identity. It is adolescents' nascent capacity for self-reflection that makes consideration of identity issues possible. Adolescents are able to consider themselves in the abstract, in the "third person," in a way that younger children cannot. During adolescence and continuing through emerging adulthood, explorations are made into various aspects of identity; cultivating commitments that set the foundations for adult life.

Adolescence and emerging adulthood are crucial periods for identity development, and for this reason theorists and researchers have devoted a considerable amount of attention to this topic. In this section, we will look first at Erikson's theory of the adolescent identity crisis, then at the research that has been conducted to explore Erikson's theory. After that, we will consider the roles of gender and culture in adolescent identity development, with a special focus on ethnic identity among minorities in American society.

Erikson's Theory

Eric Erikson (1902-1994) is one of the most influential scholars in the history of the study of adolescent development. Indeed, he has had a substantial influence on the study of human development from infancy to old age. Drawing on his diverse experience as a teacher, psychoanalyst, ethnographer among Native Americans, and therapist of World War II veterans, he developed a comprehensive theory of human development across the life span. However, the primary focus of Erikson's work was on adolescence, and adolescent development is where he has had his greatest influence.

In Erikson's theory of human development across the life span, each period of life is characterized by a distinctive developmental issue or "crisis," as he described in his classic book Childhood and Society (Erikson, 1950).
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Historical Focus

Young Man Luther

Among Erik Erikson’s many innovative contributions to the field of human development were his studies in psychosocial development, which is the psychological analysis of important historical figures. His most extensive works of psychosocial research were his analyses of the development of Mohandas K. Gandhi, the leader of the independence movement in India in the mid-20th century, and Martin Luther, the theologian and leader of the Protestant Reformation in the 16th century. His study of Luther is of particular interest for our purposes, because he focused on Luther’s development during adolescence and emerging adulthood. In fact, the title of his book on Luther is Young Man Luther (1958).

According to Erikson, two events were especially important in Luther’s identity formation. The first event took place in 1508, when Luther was 21. He was about to begin studying law. Since his childhood, his father had decreed that he would become a lawyer, and he was on the verge of fulfilling his father’s dream. However, shortly before beginning his first semester of law school, he was traveling to the college where he was to be enrolled, he was caught in a severe thunderstorm. A bolt of lightning struck the ground close to where he was taking shelter from the storm and may even have thrown him to the ground. In his terror, he cried out to St. Anne for protection from the storm and promised that he would become a monk if he survived. The storm subsided, and a few days later, Luther entered a monastery in accordance with his promise to St. Anne, without informing his father, who was enraged when he learned what Luther had done.

The second event took place 2 years later, when Luther was 23. He saw with his own eyes in the door of the monastery, hanging to a reading from the Bible that described Jesus’ curse of a man who was punished by a demon (Mark 9:17). Suddenly, Luther threw himself to the ground, weeping and roaring: “It isn’t me! It isn’t!” This event is interpreted by Erikson (and others) as indicating the depth of Luther’s fear that he could never eradicate the sense of moral and spiritual inadequacy that he felt, no matter what he did, so matter how good a monk he was. By shouting “It isn’t me!” Luther showed himself possessed even as he tried most bodily to deny it (Erikson, 1958, p. 25). Erikson and others have seen his event as pivotal in Luther’s identity development. His sense that nothing he could do would be good enough to make him holy in the eyes of God eventually led him to reject the Catholic Church’s emphasis on doing good works to earn entry into heaven, and to create a new religious doctrine based on the idea that faith and faith alone were enough to make a person worthy and saved before God.

Erikson’s study of Luther illustrates several aspects of his theory of identity formation. First, Erikson viewed identity formation as occurring on an identity crisis. More recent theorists and researchers tend to use the term exploration rather than crisis to describe the process of identity formation, but Erikson used the term crisis collaboratively. As he wrote in Young Man Luther:

Only in illness does one realize the intensity of the body and only in a crisis, individual or historical,...

Each of these issues presents a healthy path of development and an unhealthy path. For example, infancy is viewed by Erikson as a period of trust versus mistrust. The healthy path of infant development, in Erikson’s theory, is establishing a secure sense of trust with at least one person who can be counted on to provide protection and loving care. The alternative, the unhealthy path, is mistrust, which results from a failure to establish that secure sense of trust.

Each stage of life has a central issue of this kind, according to Erikson (1950). In adolescence, the central issue is identity versus identity confusion. The healthy path in adolescence involves establishing a clear and definite sense of who you are and how you fit into the world around you. The unhealthy alternative is identity confusion, which is a failure to form a stable and secure identity. Identity formation involves reflecting on what your traits, abilities, and interests are, and then fitting through
does, because obvious what a sensitive combination of interrelated factors the human personality is—a combination of capacities created in the distant past and of opportunities defined in the present; a combination of nearly unchangeable predispositions developed in individual growth and of social conditions created and revealed in the previous interplay of generations. In some young people, in some classes, at some periods in history, this crisis will be minimal; in other people, classes, and periods, the crisis will be clearly marked off as a critical period, a kind of second birth, apt to be exaggerated either by widespread neuroses or by personal ideological unrest. ... Luther, so it seems, was a rather endangered young man beset with a syndrome of conflict. (pp. 14-15)

Thus, Erikson viewed Luther's youth, including the two crisis events described above, as an extreme example of the identity crisis that all adolescents go through in one form or another.

Second, Erikson's study of Luther shows his sensitivity to the cultural and historical context of identity development. Throughout the book, Erikson emphasizes the match between Luther's unusual personality and the historical and cultural circumstances in which he lived. Had Luther grown up in a different time and place, he would have developed a much different identity. In analyzing Luther, Erikson stresses the importance of identity development of the person looking inward and assessing him or her individual abilities and inclinations against the social and cultural environment. Successful identity development lies in reconciling the individual's abilities and desires with the possibilities and opportunities offered in the environment.

Third, in describing Luther's development, Erikson shows that identity formation reaches a critical point during the identity crisis, but it begins before that time and continues well after. In explaining Luther, Erikson describes not only his adolescence and emerging adulthood but also his childhood, particularly his relationship with his loving but domineering father. Also, Erikson describes how Luther's identity continued to develop through his adulthood. The two key crises took place in his early 30s, but it was not until his early 50s that he broke away from the Catholic Church and established a new religious denomination. In the decades that followed, his identity developed further as he married, had children, and continued to develop his religious ideas.
In the sense of identity conscious? At times, of course, it seems only too conscious. For between the double pangs of inner need and inexorable outer demand, the self-experimenting individual may become the victim of a transitory extreme identity consciousness, which is the common core of many forms of self-consciousness typical for youth. Where these processes of identity formation are prolonged (a factor which can bring creative gains), such preoccupation with the ‘self-image’ also prevails. We are thus most aware of our identity when we are just about to gain it and when we (with that startle which motion pictures call a ‘double-take’) are somewhat surprised to make its acquaintance or, again, when we are just about to enter a crisis and feel the encroachment of identity confusion.”

—Erik Erikson (1968), p. 164

Nevertheless, Erikson saw adolescence as the time when identity issues are most prominent and most crucial to development. Furthermore, Erikson argued that it is important to establish a clear identity in adolescence as a basis for initial commitments in adult life and as a foundation for later stages of development. Erikson viewed this as one of all his stages—developing via the healthy path provides a stable foundation for the next stage of development, whereas developing via the unhealthy path is problematic not only in that stage but as an unrepairable foundation for the stages to come.

How does an adolescent develop a healthy identity? In Erikson’s view, identity formation is found partly in the identifications the adolescent has accumulated in childhood (Erikson, 1968). Children identify with their parents and other loved ones as they grow up—that is, children love and admire them and want to be like them. When adolescence comes, adolescents reflect on their identifications, rejecting some and embracing others. The ones that remain are integrated into the adolescent self, combined of course with the adolescent’s own individual characteristics. Thus, adolescence creates an identity in part by modeling themselves after parents, friends, and others they have loved in childhood, not simply imitating them but integrating parts of their loved ones’ behavior and attitudes into their own personalities.

The other key process that contributes to identity formation, according to Erikson, is exploring various possible life options. Erikson described adolescence as often including a psychosocial moratorium, a period when adult responsibilities are postponed as young people try on various possible selves. Thus, falling in love is part of identity formation, because during this process you get a clearer sense of yourself through intimate interactions with other persons. Trying out various possible jobs—and, for college students, various possible majors—is part of identity formation, too, because these explorations give you a clearer sense of what you are good at and what you truly enjoy. Erikson saw ideological exploration as part of identity formation as well. “Trying out” a set of religious or political beliefs by learning about them and participating in organizations centered around a particular set of beliefs serves to clarify for adolescents what they believe and how they wish to live. In Erikson’s view, the psychosocial moratorium is not characteristic of all societies but only those with individualistic values, in which individual choice is supported (Erikson, 1958).

Most young people in Western societies go through the exploration of the psychosocial moratorium and then settle on some enduring choices in love, work, and ideology as they enter adulthood. However, some young people find it difficult to sort out the pos-
abilities that life presents to them, and they remain in a state of identity confusion after their peers have gone on to establish a secure identity. For many of these adolescents, according to Erikson, this may be a result of unsuccessful adaptation in previous stages of development. Just as identity formation provides the foundation for further development in adulthood, development in childhood provides the basis for development in adolescence. If development in any of the earlier stages has been unusually problematic, then identity confusion may be the outcome of adolescent development. For other adolescents, identity confusion may be the result of an inability to sort through all the choices available to them and decide among them.

At the extreme, according to Erikson, such adolescents may develop a negative identity, “an identity pervertedly based on all those identifications and roles which, in crisis stages of development, had been presented to them as most undesirable or dangerous” (Erikson, 1968, p. 174). Such adolescents reject the range of acceptable possibilities for love, work, and ideology offered by their society, and instead deliberately embrace what their society considers unacceptable, strange, contemptible, and offensive. Youth subcultures such as skinheads and “metalheads” (fans of heavy metal music) have been formed by adolescents who share a negative identity (Arnett, 1996; Roe, 1992).

Research on Identity

Erikson was primarily a theoretical writer and a therapist rather than a researcher, but his ideas have inspired a wealth of research over the past 30 years. One of Erikson’s most influential interpreters has been James Marcia (1966, 1988, 1989, 1993, 1994, 1999; Marcia & Carpendale, 2004). Marcia constructed a measure called the Identity Status Interview that classified adolescents into one of four identity statuses: diffusion, moratorium, foreclosure, or achievement. This system of four categories, known as the identity status model, has also been used by scholars who have constructed questionnaires to investigate identity development in adolescence rather than using Marcia’s interview (e.g., Adams, 1999; Benson, Harris, & Rogers, 1992; Groves & Adams, 1984).

As shown in Table 6.2, each of these classifications involves a different combination of exploration and commitment. Erikson (1968) used the term identity crisis to describe the process through which young people construct their identity, but Marcia and other current scholars prefer the term exploration (Adams et al., 1992; Groves & Adams, 1984; Marcia & Carpendale, 2004; Waterman, 1992). Crisis implies that the process inherently involves anguish and struggle, whereas exploration implies a more positive investigation of possibilities.

Identity diffusion is a status that combines no exploration with no commitment. For adolescents in identity diffusion, no commitments have been made among the choices available to them. Furthermore, no exploration is taking place—the adolescent at this stage is not seriously attempting to sort through potential choices and make enduring commitments.

Identity moratorium involves exploration but no commitment. This is a stage of actively trying out different personal, occupational, and ideological possibilities. This classification is based on Erikson’s (1968) idea of the psychosocial moratorium, discussed earlier. Different possibilities are being tried on, sifted through, some discarded and some selected, in order for adolescents to be able to determine which of the available possibilities are best suited to them.

Adolescents who are in the identity foreclosure classification have not experimented with a range of possibilities but have nevertheless committed themselves to certain choices—commitment, but no exploration. This is often a result of their parents’ strong influence. Marcia and most other scholars tend to see exploration as a necessary part of forming a healthy identity, and therefore see foreclosure as unhealthy. This is an issue we will discuss further later.

Finally, the classification that combines exploration and commitment is identity achievement. Identity achievement is the classification for young people who have made definite personal, occupational, and ideological choices. By definition, identity achievement is preceded by a period of identity moratorium in which exploration takes place. If commitment takes place without exploration, it is considered identity foreclosure rather than identity achievement.

The findings stand out from the many studies that have been conducted using the identity status model. One is that adolescents’ identity status tends to be related to other aspects of their development (Beckson, 1992; Kroger, 1983; Swanson, Spencer, & Petersen, 1986).

The identity achievement and moratorium statuses are
notably related to a variety of favorable aspects of development. Adolescents in these categories of identity development are more likely than adolescents in the foreclosure or diffusion categories to be self-directed, cooperative, and good at problem solving. Adolescents in the achievement category are rated more favorably in some respects than adolescents in the moratorium category. As you might expect, majoritarian adolescents are more likely than achievement adolescents to be indescribable and unsure of their opinions (Marcia, 1980).

In contrast, adolescents in the diffusion and foreclosure categories of identity development tend to have less favorable development in other areas as well (Adams, 1999; Tofel, 1989; Kroger, 2003). Diffusion is considered to be the least favorable of the identity statuses and is viewed as predictive of later psychological problems (Marcia, 1980; Menas, Freda, Helsen, & Volckengo, 1999). Compared with adolescents in the achievement or moratorium statuses, adolescents in the diffusion status are lower in self-esteem and self-control. Diffusion status is also related to high anxiety, apathy, and disconnected relationships with parents.

The foreclosure status is more complex in its relation to other aspects of development (Papini et al., 1989; Phinney, 2000). Adolescents in the foreclosure status tend to be higher on conformity, conventionalism, and obedience to authority than adolescents in the other statuses (Kroger, 2003). These are generally considered negative outcomes by researchers from Western majority cultures because people with broad socialization values of independence and individualism tend to view negatively anything that reflects values of conformity and obedience. Also, adolescents with the foreclosure status tend to have especially close relationships with their parents, which may lead them to accept their parents' values and guidance without going through a period of exploration as adolescents with the achievement status have done (Phinney, 2000). Again, this is sometimes portrayed as negative by psychologists who believe it is necessary to go through a period of exploration in order to develop a mature identity, but this view casts partly on values that favor individualism and independent thinking.

The other prominent finding in research on identity formation is that it takes longer than scholars had expected to reach identity achievement, and in fact for most young people this status is reached—if at all—in emerging adulthood or beyond rather than in adolescence. Studies that have compared adolescents from ages 12 through 18 have found that although the proportion of adolescents in the foreclosure category decreases with age and the proportion of adolescents in the identity achievement category increases with age, even by early emerging adulthood less than half are classified as having reached identity achievement (Christopherson, Jones, & Solis, 1988; van Hoof, 1997; Kroger, 2003; Menas et al., 1999; Waterman, 1999). An example of this pattern, from an American study (Waterman, 1999) is shown in Figure 6.4. Similar findings were reported in a study of 12- to 27-year-olds in the Netherlands (Menas et al., 1999). Studies of college students find that progress toward identity achievement also takes place during the college years, but mainly in the specific area of occupational identity rather than for identity more generally (Waterman, 1992). Some studies indicate that identity achievement, may come faster for emerging adults who do not attend college, perhaps because the college environment tends to be a place where young people's ideas about themselves are challenged and they are encouraged to question previously held ideas (Aichele & Smith, 1996; Lyle, Bakken, & Koenig, 1997; Munz & Adams, 1997). However, even for non-college emerging adults, the majority have not reached identity achievement by age 21 (Kroger, 1999; 2003; Waterman, 1999).

Emerging adulthood is now regarded by many identity researchers as an especially important time for identity development (Cote, 2006). Even 50 years ago, Erikson observed that identity formation was taking...
longer and longer for young people in industrialized societies. He commented on the "prolonged adolescence" that was becoming increasingly common in such societies and that this was leading to a prolonged period of identity formation. "During which the young adult through free role experimentation may find a niche in some section of his society" (1968, p. 156).

Considering the changes that have taken place since he made this observation in the 1960s, including much higher ages of marriage and parenthood and longer education, Erikson’s observation applies to far more young people today than it did then (Gozé, 2000; 2006). Indeed, the conception of emerging adulthood as a distinct period of life is based on a considerable extent on the fact that, over recent decades, the late teens and early 20s have become a period of "free role experimentation" for an increasing proportion of young people (Arnett, 2000a, 2004a). The achievement of an identity-identity has become postponed, compared with earlier generations, as many emerging adults use the years of their late teens and early 20s for identity explorations in love, work, and ideology.

In recent years, the identity status model has come under increasing criticism from scholars who view it as a narrow and outdated model of identity formation (Cost, 2000; Schaefer, 2000c; 2003b; Schwartz, 2005; van Hoof, 1999; van Hoof & Rainsma, 2005). According to these scholars, identity is not exactly as stable and unitary as the identity status model portrays it, nor does identity development proceed through a predictable set of stages that culminate in identity achievement some time in late adolescence or emerging adulthood. On the contrary, in this way, the most common form of identity today is the postmodern identity, which is composed of diverse elements that do not always form a unified, consistent self.

The postmodern identity changes across contexts, so that people may show a different identity to friends, family, coworkers, and others. It also changes continuously, not just in adolescence and emerging adulthood but throughout the life course, as people add new elements to their identities and discard others. As noted in Chapter 1, a similar theme has been sounded in globalization theorists, who have argued that young people around the world increasingly develop a complex identity that combines elements from their culture and the global world culture and that changes as these cultures change (Arnett, 2000a; Giddens, 2000; Hermans & Kempen, 1998). The identity status model continues to dominate research on identity development in adolescence and emerging adulthood, but the postmodern critique may lend new methods that will expand our understanding of identity issues.

Gender and Identity

Some scholars have argued that gender differences exist in identity formation (Gilligan, 1982; Waterman, 1992). The difference appears to exist especially in relation to occupational exploration. That is, some evidence suggests that females are more willing than males to constrain their occupational exploration to maintain their relationships (Archib, 1989; Cooper & Gersonant, 1987; Marcia, 1999; Patterson et al., 1992). For example, females might be less willing than males to take advantage of an educational or occupational opportunity that would require them to move a great distance, because that would mean leaving their parents, their friends, and perhaps their romantic partner.

This gender difference was especially strong in earlier stages of identity formation. More recent studies have found that gender differences in identity formation have diminished (Keiser, 2003; Loeber & Gay, 1998). Nevertheless, some gender differences remain in the extent of young people’s occupational explorations (Archib, 1989; Jonsson, 1988; Marcia, 1994; Patterson et al., 1992). Young women tend to have more
difficulty than young men in successfully integrating their aspirations for sex with their aspirations for work, in part because of gender double standards in most societies decreeing that in a romantic partnership, his occupational aspirations usually take priority over hers.

In Erikson's theory, this means that intimacy is often a higher priority than identity for females, whereas for males identity tends to come before intimacy (Gilligan, 1982; Lyle et al., 1997; Miller, 1991; Schindel & Marcia, 1985; Surry, 1991). According to Erikson, intimacy versus isolation is the central issue of young adulthood. Establishing intimacy means uniting your newly formed identity with another person in an intimate relationship. The alternative is isolation, characterized by an inability to form an enduring intimate relationship. Research on the relation between identity and intimacy has often focused on gender differences, with most studies indicating that intimacy issues arise earlier for females than for males, so that females often accomplish intimacy before identity (Schindel & Marcia, 1990), or that developmental processes of identity formation and establishing intimacy are integrated for females (Lyle et al., 1997; Miller, 1991; Surry, 1991), whereas males tend to achieve identity before intimacy. However, the findings are not entirely consistent, and one study found that high school girls tended to be higher in identity and lower in intimacy than high school boys (Lacome & Cay, 1998), so more research is needed.

In addition to the research on gender differences in identity development, Erikson has been the subject of theoretical critiques for being biased toward male development (Miller, 1979; Gilligan, 1982; Sorell & Montagu, 2001). Erikson believed that in some extent "anatomy is destiny," meaning that there are sex differences in psychological development, including identity development, that are based on biological sex differences (Erikson, 1950, 1989). Specifically, he believed that women's biology, represented by the "uterus and their capacity for bearing children, makes them oriented toward relationships with others, whereas men's biology, represented by the penis, makes them oriented toward independent, instrumental activity. Furthermore, because forming an identity means (in Erikson's theory) becoming separate and independent from others, the male model of development is presented as the healthy standard for normal development, from which females' simultaneous emphasis on identity and intimacy is a less desirable "deviation" (Archer, 1992; Sorell & Montagu, 2001).

However, defenders of Erikson, and even many of his feminist critics, argue that in his descriptions of females as relational and males as active and instrumental he was simply reflecting the social conditions of the time he first developed his ideas, the mid-20th century (Archer, 2002; Kroger, 2002; Sorell & Montagu, 2001, 2002). Also, scholars now agree that independence and connectedness are often balanced differently in males and females' sense of identity—what is more, toward independence for males, more toward connectedness for females—not because of biological sex differences, as Erikson believed, but because of culturally based differences in gender roles socialization, beginning at birth and continuing throughout life (Gilligan, 1982; Josephson, 1992; Sorell & Montgomery, 2001, 2002). Erikson's theory remains at the heart of theory and research on identity development, but it is being revised to reflect current scholarship—especially, but not only, the cultural and social (rather than biological) basis of gender differences in identity.

Culture and Identity

Erik Erikson's cultural background was diverse—he was the son of Danish parents, raised in Germany, and spent most of his adult life in the United States—and he was acutely aware of the relation between culture and identity formation. He spent time as an ethnographer among the Sioux and Yukon tribes of Native Americans, and he devoted a chapter in Childhood and Society (1950) to adolescent identity development in these tribes. Nevertheless, virtually all of the research inspired by Erikson's theory has taken place among White middle-class adolescents in the United States. What can we say about identity development among adolescents in other cultures?

One observation that can be made is that although Erikson sought to ground his theory in historical and
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expected to grow up to believe what adults teach them to believe, without questioning it. It is only in recent history, and mainly in industrialized Western countries, that these expectations have changed, and that it has come to be seen as desirable for adolescents and emerging adults to think for themselves, decide on their own beliefs, and make their life choices independently (Belbel, et al., 1985; Arnett, 1998a). For modern young men in the West, then, identity development is a longer and more complex process than in the past and compared with traditional cultures. As we will see later in this chapter, this is increasingly true for the rest of the world as well, as industrialization increases worldwide and as Western values of individualism influence traditional cultures through globalization (Schlegel, 2000; Sulé-Ortiz, 2004).

Ethnic Identity

In discussing identity, we have noted that in Erikson's theory the three key areas of identity formation are love, work, and ideology. For a large and growing proportion of adolescents in industrialized societies, one aspect of ideology is belief about what it means to be a member of an ethnic minority within a society dominated by the majority culture. Scholarly attention to this topic has increased in recent years as immigration from developing countries to industrialized societies has grown and as scholars have begun to devote greater attention to cultural issues in development (Phinney, 1990, 2000a). Like other identity issues, issues of ethnic identity come to the forefront in adolescence because of the cognitive capacities that adolescents develop (Barkley, Danham, & Castillo, 2000; Wong, 1997). One aspect of the growing capacity for self-reflection, for adolescents who are members of ethnic minorities, is likely to be an sharpened awareness of what it means for them to be a member of their minority group. Group terms such as African American, Chinese Canadian, and Turkish Dutch take on a new meaning as adolescents can now think about what these terms mean and how the term for their ethnic group applies to themselves. Also, as a consequence of their growing capacity to think about what others think about them, adolescents become more acutely aware of the prejudices and stereotypes that others may hold about their ethnic group.

Because adolescents and emerging adults who are members of ethnic minorities have to confront such issues, their identity development is likely to be more complex than for those who are part of the majority culture (Phinney, 2000a, 2005; Phinney & Alpizar, 1997). Consider, for example, identity development in the area of love. Love—along with dating and sex—is an area where
cultural conflicts are especially likely to come up for adolescents who are members of ethnic minorities. Part of identity development in the American majority culture means trying out different possibilities in love by dating different people, developing resonant relationships with them, and gaining sexual experience with them. However, this model is in sharp conflict with the values of certain American ethnic minority groups. In most Asian American groups, for example, recreational dating is disapproved and sexual experimentation before marriage is considered disgraceful—especially for females (Miller, 1995; Taibadi & Hanrahan, 2000; Wong, 1997). Similarly, among Latinos, gaining sexual experience in adolescence is considered wrong for girls, and they are often highly restricted by their parents and their brothers to prevent any violation of this norm (Inclán & Herron, 1990). Young people in these ethnic groups face a challenge in reconciling the values of their ethnic group on such issues with the values of the majority culture, to which they are inevitably exposed through school, the media, and peers (Monkrom-Athana, 1992; Miller, 1995; Phinney & Rosenthal, 1992).

How, then, does identity development take place for young people who are members of minority groups within Western societies? To what extent do they develop an identity that reflects the values of the majority culture, and to what extent do they retain the values of their minority group? One scholar who has done extensive work on these questions is Jean Phinney. (1990, 2000; 2006; Phinney & Alipuria, 1987; Phinney & Devch-Navarro, 1997; Phinney & Rosenthal, 1992). On the basis of her research, Phinney has concluded that adolescents who are members of minority groups have four different ways of responding to their awareness of their ethnicity (see Table 5.3; Phinney, Devch-Navarro et al., 1994).

As a result, he option that involves leaving behind the ways of one’s ethnic group and adopting the values and way of life of the majority culture is the path that is reflected in the idea that American society is a “melting pot” that mơes people of diverse origins into one national culture. Marginality involves rejecting one’s culture of origin but also feeling rejected by the majority culture. Some adolescents may feel little identification with the culture of their parents and grandparents, nor do they feel accepted and integrated into American society. Separation is the approach that involves associating only with members of one’s own ethnic group and rejecting the ways of the majority culture. Biculturalism involves developing a dual identity, one based in the ethnic group of origin and one based in the majority culture. Being bicultural means moving back and forth between the ethnic culture and the majority culture, and alternating identities as appropriate to the situation.

Which of these ethnic identity statuses is most common among minority adolescents? The bicultural status is the most common status among Mexican Americans and Asian Americans, as well as among some European minority groups such as Turkish adolescents in the Netherlands (1996; 2000; Rothstein-Beran, 1990; Phinney, Dupont, et al., 1994; Verhuyten, 2002). However, separation is the most common ethnic identity status among African American adolescents, and marginality is pervasive among Native American adolescents (see the Cultural Focus Box). Of course, each ethnic group is diverse and contains adolescents with a variety of different ethnic identities. Adolescents tend to be more aware of their ethnic identity when they are in a context where they are in the minority. For example, in one study, Latino adolescents attending a predominantly non-Latino school reported significantly higher levels of ethnic identity than adolescents in a predominantly Latino or a balanced Latino/non-Latino school (Olumayi-Taylor, 2005). Recently, Phinney (2006) has proposed that emerging adulthood may be an especially important time for ethnic identity development, because emerging adults often enter new contexts (new schools, new jobs, perhaps new living partners) that may involve greater contact with people outside their ethnic group and thus sharpen their awareness of their ethnic identity.

Is a strong ethnic identity related to other aspects of development in adolescence and emerging adulthood? The answer to this question is complex. Ethnic identity

### Table 5.3 Four Possible Ethnic Identity Statuses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identification</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Majority Culture</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginality</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separation</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biculturalism</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHAPTER 6  The Self

Adolescents with a bicultural ethnic identity are able to alternate their identities depending on the group they are with.

status has been found in some studies to be unrelated to characteristics such as self-esteem, grades in school, and social competence (Romera-Bosch, 1990). However, some recent studies have found that adolescents who are bicultural or assimilated have higher self-esteem (e.g., Faver, Rhafla, & Narang, 2002). Furthermore, recent research using methods other than Phinney's ethnic identity status model has found that having a strong ethnic identity is related to a variety of other favorable aspects of development, such as overall well-being, academic achievement, and lower rates of risk behavior (McMahon & Wans, 2002; St. Louis & Liem, 2005; Yip & Fulligni, 2002).

Some scholars have argued that, for Black adolescents in particular, cultivating pride in their ethnic identity is an important part of their identity formation, especially in a society where they are likely to experience discrimination for being Black (Spencer & Marks-Gottlieb, 2009; Ward, 1990). However, other scholars have argued that promoting ethnic identity may lead adolescents to a separation identity that cuts them off from the majority culture in a way that inhibits their personal growth (Phinney & Rosenthal, 1992). These scholars express concern that some minority adolescents may come to define themselves in opposition to the majority culture—developing a negroid identity, in Erikson's (1968) terms—in a way that may interfere with developing a positive identity of their own.

The separation response, is, at least in part, a result of the discrimination and prejudice that minorities often face in American society, and that young people become more fully aware of as they reach adolescence. Their awareness of discrimination may also increase with the length of time their family has been in the United States. An interesting finding in this research is that foreign-born adolescents tend to believe in the American ideal of equal opportunity more than minority adolescents whose families have been in the United States for a generation or more (Phinney, DuPont et al., 1994; Suarez-Orozco & Suarez-Orozco, 1996). This suggests that recent immigrants may expect that they or their children will become assimilated into the great American melting pot, but after a generation or two many of them come up against the realities of ethnic prejudice in American society, leading to more of a separation identity. Black adolescents tend to be more in favor of separation than adolescents from other ethnic groups (Phinney, Devich-Navarro et al., 1994), perhaps because most of them are from families who have been in the United States for many generations and who have experienced a long history of slavery, racism, and discrimination (Hemmings, 1998).

Identity and Globalization

Globalization is having a substantial impact on identity issues, especially for adolescents and emerging adults. There are two aspects of identity that stand out as issues related to globalization (Arnett, 2002a). First, as noted in Chapter 1, because of globalization more young people around the world now develop a bicultural identity with one part of their identity rooted in their local culture while another part stems from an awareness of their relation to the global culture. For example, India has a growing, vigorous high-tech economic sector, led largely by young people. However, even the better-educated young people, who have become full-fledged members of the global economy, still mostly prefer to have an arranged marriage, in accordance with Indian tradition (Verma & Saraswathi, 2002). They also generally expect to care for their parents in old age, again in accord with Indian tradition. Thus they have one identity for participating in the global economy and succeeding in the fast-paced world of high technology, and another identity, rooted in Indian tradition, that they maintain with respect to their families and their personal lives.

Although developing a bicultural identity means that a local identity is retained alongside a global identity, there is no doubt that many cultures are being modified by globalization, specifically by the circulation of global media, free market economics, democratic institutions, increased length of formal schooling, and delayed entry into marriage and parenthood. These changes often alter traditional cultural practices and beliefs, and
Cultural Focus

The Native American Self

Native American young people exhibit greater difficulties in many respects than any other American minority group. They have the highest prevalence rates for use of alcohol, cigarettes, and illicit drugs (May, 1996; Wallace et al., 2005). They have the highest school dropout rate and the highest teenage pregnancy rate (John, 1998; Laframboise & Low, 1999). Especially alarming is the suicide rate among Native American young people aged 15 to 24, which is three times as high as the rate for Whites (John, 1998). Suicide is the leading cause of death among young Native Americans. Native Canadians (also known as “First Nations” peoples) are similar to Native Americans in their levels of substance use, dropping out of school, teenage pregnancy, and suicide (Chaput et al., 2004).

To a large extent, the difficulties of Native American young people are viewed by scholars as rooted in problems of the self (Katz, 1998; Leley, 1976). The self-esteem of Native American adolescents tends to be substantially lower than in other ethnic groups (Dinges, Triebel, & Holstein, 1979; Dodd, Nelson, & Holton, 1994; Liu et al., 1994). Young Native Americans have also been found to have problems forming an identity in adolescence and emerging adulthood, as they attempt to reconcile the socialization of their Native American cultures with the influences and demands of the dominant White majority culture (Dodd et al., 1994; Leley, 1976; Liu et al., 1994).

The explanation for problems of the self among young Native Americans is partly historical and partly contemporary. In historical terms, during the 19th century Native American cultures were decimated and finally overcome by the spread of European American settlement into the vast areas of the United States that Native American tribes once dominated. The devastation of their cultures was deep and thorough, as they were betrayed repeatedly by the U.S. government, killed in large numbers, forced to leave their territories, and ultimately herded onto reservations in the most desolate parts of the country. This alone would be enough to explain substantial disruption to their cultural life to this present day, with consequent effects on the socialization and development of their young people.

In the 20th century, additional practices of the federal government added to and prolonged the cultural destruction suffered by Native Americans. For most of the century, Native American children were forced to attend schools run by the adults of their community but by the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA), a federal agency. The goal of these schools was complete assimilation of Native American children and adolescents into the ways of the majority culture—and, correspondingly, the annihilation of their attachment to their own culture’s beliefs, values, knowledge, and customs (Unger, 1977). Often these schools were boarding schools where the children lived during the school year, completely isolated from their families and communities.

Given these conditions, and given that constructing the self requires a cultural foundation (Shneider et al., 1998), many Native American young people found it difficult to construct a stable and coherent self. These educational practices finally changed in the 1970s, when federal legislation was passed giv-
ing Native Americans substantial control over their schools (John, 1998). Still, like the effects of losing their lands and being forced to enter reservations a century ago, the damage from the cultural annihila-
tion practices of the schools have endured in Native American cultures.

In the present, threats to the selves of Native American young people remain from the histori-
cal legacy of cultural destruction and from the bleak conditions that face them as they look ahead to adulthood. The legacy of cultural de-
struction makes it difficult for them to form a bi-
cultural identity; Native American cultures and
the American majority culture are difficult to
combine, because for many young Native Ameri-
cans accepting White society even as part of a bi-
cultural identity would amount to a betrayal of
their own people in the light of the suffering they
have endured at the hands of Whites (Deyhle,
1995). At the same time, government practices
undermining Native American cultural socializa-
tion over the 20th century have been effective, so
that many young people no longer share their
culture’s traditional beliefs or know much about
their culture’s traditional way of life. As Deyhle
(1998) observes, “On the one hand, due to the
racism against [Native Americans] in the Anglo
community and youth’s insistence on cultural in-
tegrity, the Anglo world is not available to them.
On the other hand, the traditional lives of their
ancestors no longer exist” (p. 6).

Thus, many young Native Americans find
themselves with a marginal ethnic identity status,
alienated from the majority culture as well as
from their own culture, living between two
worlds and at home in neither. Conditions in
their communities are grim—rates of poverty
and unemployment among Native Americans are
exceptionally high (John, 1998)—but the pre-
dominantly White majority culture does not ac-
cept them and is not accepted by them. Their
high rates of substance use, dropping out of
school, teenage pregnancy, and suicide reflect
their difficulties in constructing a self under
these conditions. Although some recent hopeful
signs have been seen—for example, in rising rates
of college enrollment—overall, the prospects facing
young Native Americans remain formidable and bleak. 

The self-development of young Native Americans is often fraught with difficulties.
Young people in traditional cultures may develop a bicultural or hybrid identity in response to globalization. Here, a young man in India.

may lead to a bicultural identity than to a hybrid identity, integrating local culture with elements of the global culture (Hermans & Kemenen, 1998).

Increasing immigration has been specified as one of the forces promoting globalization (Hermans & Kempen, 1998), and identity becomes even more complicated for young people who are immigrants. They may develop identities that combine their native culture, the local culture to which they have immigrated, and the global culture, along with various hybrids, leading to a multicultural identity or a complex hybrid identity. Furthermore, people living in a culture to which immigrants have come may incorporate aspects of the immigrants' culture into their own identities. Thus, for an increasing number of the world's young people, as Hermans and Kempen (1998) observe, "different and contrasting cultures can be part of a repertoire of collective voices playing their part in a multivoiced self" (p. 1138).

A second identity-related consequence of globalization is that it seems to be leading to an increase in the pervasiveness of identity confusion—a marginalized identity, in terms of Phinney's scheme—among young people in traditional cultures. As local cultures change in response to globalization, most young people manage to adapt to the changes and develop a bicultural or hybrid identity that provides the basis for living in their local culture and also participating in the global culture. However, for some young people, adapting to the rapid changes taking place in their cultures is more difficult. The images, values, and opportunities they perceive as being part of the global culture are not the ones they know from their direct experience. Rather than becoming bicultural, they may experience themselves as marginalized, excluded from both their local culture and the global culture, truly belonging to neither.

Identity confusion among young people may be reflected in problems such as depression, suicide, and substance use. A variety of cultures have experienced a sharp increase in suicide and substance use among their young people since their rapid move toward joining the global culture (e.g., Burbuisk, 1988; Condon, 1987; Leidig, 1995; Kahlestein, 1995). The increase in these problems seems to indicate the difficulty that some young people in traditional cultures experience in forming a stable identity in the context of the rapid social changes caused by globalization. However, whether this means that young people in traditional cultures are more likely than young people in the West to experience identity confusion remains to be studied.

The Self, Alone

One of the reasons that adolescents are able to engage in the frequent self-reflection that allows them to consider their self-concept, self-esteem, emotional states, and identity are that they are often by themselves. Studies of time use among American adolescents indicate that they spend about one fourth of their time alone, which is more time than they spend with either their families or their friends (Larson, Kasserstehahay, & Graft, 1985; Larson & Richards, 1994). The ESM studies provide some interesting data on adolescents' experiences of being alone (Larson et al., 1982; Larson & Richards, 1994). These studies find that a substantial proportion of adolescents' time alone is spent in their bedrooms, with the door closed. It is a lonely

Adolescents spend more time by themselves than with family or friends.
time for them? The answer to this question is not simple. During their time alone their moods tend to be low—they are more likely than at other times to report feeling weak, lonely, and sad. However, after a period alone their mood tends to rise. Larson and Richards (1994) conclude that adolescents use their time alone for self-reflection and mood management. They listen to music, they lie on their beds, they groom themselves in the mirror, they browse, they fantasize. When their time alone is done they tend to feel restored, ready to face the things and arrows of daily life again.

Larson and Richards (1994) provide a revealing example of one adolescent girl’s experience of being alone. She was alone about one fourth of the time she was beeped, the typical rate. Often, she reported feeling lonely during her time alone. She brooded over her looks, she brooded over how all the girls except herself seemed to have a boyfriend. Yet, she wrote, “I like to be by myself. I don’t have to be worried or agitated by my parents. I have noticed that when I’m alone I feel better sometimes.” Then she added, in large print, “NEVER ALWAYS,” reflecting her ambivalence (Larson & Richards, 1994, p. 102).

Being alone can be constraining, then, as long as an adolescent does not have too much of it. Studies have found that adolescents who spend an unusually high proportion of their time alone tend also to have higher rates of school problems, depression, and other psychological difficulties (Achenbach & Edelbrock, 1986; Larson & Richards, 1994). However, the same studies have found that adolescents who are rarely alone also have higher rates of school problems and depression. Time alone can be healthy for adolescents because, as Larson and Richards (1994) observe, “After a long day in which their emotions are played upon by peers, teachers, and family members, a measured period of time by themselves, to reflect, reorganize, and explore, may be just what they need” (p. 193).

Just as being alone does not necessarily mean being lonely, a person can be lonely even when among others. Robert Weiss (1973) has made an important and influential distinction between two types of loneliness, social loneliness and emotional loneliness. Social loneliness occurs when people feel that they lack a sufficient number of social contacts and relationships. In contrast, emotional loneliness occurs when people feel that the relationships they have lack sufficient closeness and intimacy. Thus, social loneliness reflects a deficit in sheer quantity of social contacts and relationships, whereas emotional loneliness reflects a deficit in the emotional quality of a person’s relationships (Adams et al., 1988; Ascher et al., 1996; DiTommaso & Spivack, 1997; Larson, 1990). Young people may experience either or both of these types of loneliness in their teens and early twenties.

Emerging adulthood is a period when time alone is especially high (Eccles, 2002). According to time use studies across the life span, young people aged 19 to 29 spend more of their leisure time alone than any persons except the elderly and more of their time in productive activities (school and work) alone than any other age group under 40 (Larson, 1990). Emerging adults have also been found to report greater feelings of loneliness than either adolescents or adults (Reckah, 2000), and there are good reasons why these years would be loneliness. Most emerging adults move out of the home by age 18 or 19 (Goldschneider & Goldschneider, 1999) to go to college or to live independently. This move may have many advantages, such as giving emerging adults more independence and requiring them to take on more responsibilities for their daily lives, but it also means that they are no longer wrapped in the relative security of the family environment. They may be glad to be on their own in
many ways, but nevertheless they may find themselves to be lonely more often than when they had lived at home (DeTommaso & Spinelli, 1997). Most young people in industrialized societies do not marry—or form committed relationships—that usually go along with it—until their mid- to late 20s (Arnett, 2009b). For many young people, entering adulthood is a period between the companionship of living with family and the companionship of marriage or some other long-term partnership (Arnett, 2008a; 2009b).

The college environment is one in which emerging adults rarely experience social loneliness, but emotional loneliness is common (Wiencz, 1995). The first year of college has been found to be an especially lonely period for emerging adults (Costanza, 1982; Lorre & Bosin, 1985), even though they are meeting many new people. College freshman living in a dormitory may have people around virtually every moment of the day—class, eating, studying, working—and going to class—but still feel lonely if state-social contacts are not emotionally rewarding.

**T-H-I-N-KING CRITICALLY**

Compared with young people in Western cultures, do you think young people in traditional cultures would be more or less likely to experience loneliness?

---

**Summary**

In this chapter, we have addressed a variety of aspects of the self in adolescence and emerging adulthood, including self-conceptions, self-esteem, the emotional self, identity, and being alone. The main points of the chapter are as follows:

- Cultures differ greatly in their views of the self, with some promoting an independent self that is high in adolescent and others promoting an interdependent self that is defined by relations with others.
- Self-conceptions become more abstract in adolescence. This includes the development of the capacity to distinguish between an actual self and two types of possible selves—an ideal self and a feared self. Self-conceptions in adolescence also become more complex, with an increased awareness that different aspects of the self might be shown to different people and in different situations. This includes an awareness that one may show a false self to others at times.
- Research indicates that self-esteem tends to decline in early adolescence and rise through late adolescence and emerging adulthood. Self-esteem does not decline among all adolescents, but is more likely to decline for girls than for boys, and more likely to decline among Whites than among African Americans. The aspects of self-esteem that are most influential in adolescents’ overall self-esteem are physical appearance and peer acceptance.
- The ESM studies show that adolescents tend to experience more extremes of emotions, especially negative ones such as feeling “embarrassed or awkward,” compared with preadolescents or adults. Carol Gilligan has argued that gender differences exist in emotional self-development during adolescence, as girls “lose their voice” in the course of conforming to cultural pressure for the female role, rather than asserting their authentic selves. However, research has provided only mixed support for this claim.
- According to Erik Erikson, the key issue in adolescent development is identity versus identity confusion, and the three primary areas of identity formation are love, work, and ideology. The identity status model has guided most research in this area by classifying adolescents into one of four statuses: foreclosure, diffusion, moratorium, and achievement. For young people in Western societies, identity formation usually involves a psychosocial construct (a period of exploration of various life possibilities) that continues through emerging adulthood.
- Adolescents who are members of ethnic minorities face the challenge of developing an ethnic identity in addition to an identity in the areas of love, work, and ideology. Four possible alternatives of ethnic identity formation are assimilation, marginality, separation, and multiculturalism.
• Globalization is influencing identity issues in adolescence and emerging adulthood. Specifically, it is leading to the development of more bicultural and hybrid identities that combine elements of the local culture with elements of the global culture, and it appears to be leading to greater identity confusion among young people in some traditional cultures.

• The ESSN studies find that adolescents are alone about one fourth of the time. Although their moods tend to be low during these times, they often use these times for reflection and regeneration. Emotional loneliness tends to be high among college freshmen.

Studies of the self in adolescence and emerging adulthood are especially common in American society. Because of the American tradition of individualism, issues of the self have been of more interest and concern to Americans than to people in other societies, and this is reflected in the interests of American scholars. The distinction between the independent self that is emphasized in American society (and to a lesser extent in other Western cultures) and the interdependent self that is emphasized in non-Western societies is an important one, but so far this idea has not been applied much to research on adolescence and emerging adulthood.

actual self 165
possible selves 165
ideal self 165
feared self 165
false self 167
self-esteem 167
self-image 167
self-concept 167
self-perception 167
baseline self-esteem 168
barometric self-esteem 168
response bias 170

internal consistency 170
identity 175
identity versus identity confusion 176
psychology 176
identifications 178
psychosocial moratorium 178
negative identity 179
identity status model 179
identity crisis 179
identity diffusion 179
identity moratorium 179
identity foreclosure 179
identity achievement 179
postmodern identity 181
identity versus isolation 182
assimilation 184
marginality 184
separation 184
biculuralism 184
hybrid identity 188
social loneliness 189
emotional loneliness 189

http://www.syr.rrc.edu/sociology/identity/links.htm

The website for the journal Identity. Many of the articles in the journal pertain to adolescence or emerging adulthood. The site also contains information about conferences and membership in the Society for Research on Identity Formation.

http://www.psych.uconn.edu/SSUY

The website for the International Society for Self and Identity. The site contains information about publications and conferences related to the self.
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