

Lab Group Participation Summary

1. Name _____ Lab # _____ Group # _____
2. Rate the participation level of each group member (including you) using the following criteria:

Participation Level	Rating
Met all criteria for a 5 and far exceeded the criteria in one or more areas (provide details in #4).	6
Met all: Active contributor throughout lab. AND Always promptly and thoroughly completed a fair share of the group's lab. AND Cooperative and hardworking, a strong group member, the type you always want in your group.	5
Met one: Good contributor, but occasional bystander. OR Completed a fair share, but not always promptly or thoroughly (may have resulted in a <i>slight</i> delay in progress). OR Reasonably cooperative and helpful; possibly a little resistant to compromise, a little too pushy or passive, too busy, etc.; yet still an OK group member, one you'd work with again.	4
Met one: Adequate contributor, but frequent bystander. OR Fell just short of completing his/her fair share; someone else had to cover a piece. OR A somewhat problematic group member – <i>slightly</i> disruptive, difficult, uncooperative, etc.	3
Met one: Minimal contributor or even an inhibitor to group's progress. OR Contributed something to group's lab, but it was extremely limited and far short of a fair share. OR Unacceptable group member – prima donna, stonewaller, etc.	2
Part of the group in name only – made no real contribution to group's lab.	1

List group members and appropriate participation score in parentheses following name.

YOURSELF: _____

3. Briefly summarize how you contributed to and/or worked with your group members to complete this lab.

4. Should you or one of your group members be recognized for their extraordinary contributions to this lab? If so, indicate who and why you feel they should be considered for bonus points.

